arXiv:1210.2017v1 [math.DG] 7 Oct 2012

HYPERBOLIC POSITIVE ENERGY THEOREM WITH ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

YAOHUA WANG, XU XU

Abstract. We establish a type of positive energy theorem for asymptotically anti-de Sitter Einstein-Maxwell initial data sets by using Witten's spinoral techniques.

1. Introduction

As a fundamental result in general relativity, the positive mass theorem states that an isolated gravitational system satisfying the dominant energy condition must have nonnegative total mass. Schoen and Yau first gave a rigorous proof of this theorem for asymptotically flat initial data sets [13, 14, 15]. Soon later, Witten provided a different proof by using spinoral techniques [19]. Parker and Taubes gave a rigorous proof of the theorem based on Witten's method [12]. Later on, Gibbons and Hull successfully generalized Witten's argument to asymptotically flat initial data sets with electromagnetic fields [9]. Gibbons, Hawking, Horowitz and Perry discussed the positive energy theorems for asymptotically flat initial data sets with black holes [8].

For certain spacetimes with nonzero cosmological constant, the positive energy theorem should also be true. Wang [17] and Chruściel and Herzlich [6] gave the definition of the mass for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds in the time symmetric case and the proof of its positivity respectively. Soon after that, for initial data sets with nonzero second fundamental form, Maerten [11] and Chruściel, Maerten and Tod [7] established corresponding positive energy theorems, which gave the upper bounds for angular momentum and center of mass. They assumed that the energy-momentum vector $m_{(\mu)}$ was timelike and m_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ may be zero after an asymptotic isometry. Recently, the first author, Xie and Zhang [18] considered the general case and established corresponding positive energy theorem for the initial data sets asymptotic to the anti-de Sitter spacetime in polar coordinates

$$\widetilde{g}_{AdS} = -\cosh^2(\kappa r)dt^2 + dr^2 + \frac{\sinh^2(\kappa r)}{\kappa^2}(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\psi^2), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$-\infty < t < \infty,\ 0 < r < \infty,\ 0 \le \theta < \pi\ ,\ 0 \le \psi < 2\pi.$$

We also refer to [1] and [3] for physical discussions.

This paper aims at generalizing the results in [18] to asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes with electromagnetic fields. Similar to [18], we generalize Witten's spinoral method to a type of asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes with electromagnetic fields. We provide a rigorous proof of the Poincaré inequality which ensures the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the Dirac-Witten equation. Similar to [9], we give a lower bound of the total energy in terms of the new quantities we define.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give the explicit form of imaginary Killing spinors along time slices in the anti-de Sitter spacetime. These results are contained in [18]. We write them down here just for completeness. In Section 3, we give the definition of total energy, total momenta, total electric charge, and total magnetic momenta for asymptotically anti-de Sitter Einstein-Maxwell initial data sets. In Section 4, we derive the Weitzenböck formula and prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the Dirac-Witten equation. In Section 5, we prove our positive energy theorem for asymptotically anti-de Sitter Einstein-Maxwell initial data sets and discuss the case with black holes. A new positive energy theorem for asymptotically anti-de Sitter initial data sets is established also. Finally, in Section 6, we present our calculations for the Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime.

2. THE ANTI-DE SITTER SPACETIME

The anti-de Sitter (AdS) spacetime (1.1) with negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -3\kappa^2 < 0$, denoted by $(N, \widetilde{g}_{AdS})$, is a static spherically symmetric solution of the vacuum Einstein equations. The t-slice $(\mathbb{H}^3, \breve{g})$ is the hyperbolic 3-space with constant sectional curvature $-\kappa^2$, where $\kappa > 0$.

Let the associated orthonormal frame be

$$\breve{e}_0 = \frac{1}{\cosh(\kappa r)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\ \breve{e}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r},\ \breve{e}_2 = \frac{\kappa}{\sinh(\kappa r)}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta},\ \breve{e}_3 = \frac{\kappa}{\sinh(\kappa r)\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}$$

and denote $\breve{e}^\alpha$ as its dual coframe.

For the following application, we fix the following Clifford representation throughout this paper:

$$\breve{e}_0 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \end{pmatrix},\ \breve{e}_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\breve{e}_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & -1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{pmatrix},\ \breve{e}_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & & \sqrt{-1} \\ & & -\sqrt{-1} & \\ & -\sqrt{-1} & & \\ \sqrt{-1} & & & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

For the anti-de Sitter spacetime, the imaginary Killing spinors Φ_0, defined as

$$\nabla^{AdS}_X \Phi_0 + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{2} X \cdot \Phi_0 = 0, \qquad \forall X \in T\mathbb{H}^3,$$

are all of the form

$$\Phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u^+ e^{\frac{\kappa r}{2}} + u^- e^{-\frac{\kappa r}{2}} \\ v^+ e^{\frac{\kappa r}{2}} + v^- e^{-\frac{\kappa r}{2}} \\ -\sqrt{-1}u^+ e^{\frac{\kappa r}{2}} + \sqrt{-1}u^- e^{-\frac{\kappa r}{2}} \\ \sqrt{-1}v^+ e^{\frac{\kappa r}{2}} - \sqrt{-1}v^- e^{-\frac{\kappa r}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

along the 0-slice, where

$$\begin{aligned} u^+ &= \lambda_1 e^{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} + \lambda_2 e^{\frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \cos\frac{\theta}{2}, \\ u^- &= \lambda_3 e^{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} + \lambda_4 e^{\frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \cos\frac{\theta}{2}, \\ v^+ &= -\lambda_3 e^{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} + \lambda_4 e^{\frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \sin\frac{\theta}{2}, \\ v^- &= -\lambda_1 e^{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} + \lambda_2 e^{\frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi} \sin\frac{\theta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here λ_1, λ_2, λ_3, and λ_4 are arbitrary complex numbers.

3. Definitions

Suppose that $(N, \widetilde{g})$ is a Lorentzian manifold with the metric $\widetilde{g}$ of signature $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ satisfying the Einstein field equations

$$\widetilde{Ric} - \frac{\widetilde{R}}{2}\widetilde{g} + \Lambda \widetilde{g} = T, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\widetilde{Ric}$, $\widetilde{R}$ are the Ricci and scalar curvatures of $\widetilde{g}$ respectively, T is the energy-momentum tensor of matter, and Λ is the cosmological constant. Let M be a 3-dimensional spacelike hypersurface in N with the induced metric g and p be the second fundamental form of M in N. E and B are two vector fields on M, representing the electric and magnetic fields respectively. The set (M, g, p, E, B) is called an Einstein-Maxwell initial data set. In this paper, we will focus on the following type Einstein-Maxwell initial data set.

Definition 3.1. *An Einstein-Maxwell initial data set* (M, g, p, E, B) *is asymptotically AdS of order* $\tau > \frac{3}{2}$ *if:*
(1) *There is a compact set* K *such that* $M_\infty = M \setminus K$ *is diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r$*, where* B_r *is the closed ball of radius* r *with center at the coordinate origin;*
(2) *Under the diffeomorphism,* $g_{ij} = g(\breve{e}_i, \breve{e}_j) = \delta_{ij} + a_{ij}$*,* $p_{ij} = p(\breve{e}_i, \breve{e}_j)$*,*

$E = E^i \breve{e}_i$, $B = B^i \breve{e}_i$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} = O(e^{-\tau\kappa r}),\ \breve{\nabla}_k a_{ij} = O(e^{-\tau\kappa r}),\ \breve{\nabla}_l \breve{\nabla}_k a_{ij} = O(e^{-\tau\kappa r}), \\ p_{ij} =& O(e^{-\tau\kappa r}),\ \breve{\nabla}_k p_{ij} = O(e^{-\tau\kappa r}), \\ |E|_{\breve{g}} =& O(e^{-2\kappa r}),\ |B|_{\breve{g}} = O(e^{-2\kappa r}), \\ B^1 =& a e^{-2\kappa r} + O(e^{-3\kappa r}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\breve{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the hyperbolic metric $\breve{g}$ and a is independent of r;
(3) *$divE \in L^1(M)$, $divB \in L^1(M)$, and there is a distance function ρ_z such that $T_{00}e^{\kappa\rho_z}$, $T_{0i}e^{\kappa\rho_z} \in L^1(M)$.*

Remark 3.1.
(1) *For simplicity, we just assume that the initial data set has only one end. The case of multi-ends is similar.*
(2) *For the initial data set (M, g, p, E, B) above, one may get another initial data set (M, g, p, E', B') by duality rotation* [16]*, with the same energy-momentum tensor contributed by electromagnetic filed and $|E'|_{\breve{g}} = O(e^{-2\kappa r})$, $|B'|_{\breve{g}} = O(e^{-2\kappa r})$ and $B'^1 = O(e^{-3\kappa r})$ on the end. Hence we may assume the original initial data set has the property $B^1 = O(e^{-3\kappa r})$.*

To get our definitions, recall that the AdS spacetime is just the hyperboloid $\{\eta_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta = \frac{3}{\Lambda}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3,2}$ with the metric

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} dy^\alpha dy^\beta = -(dy^0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (dy^i)^2 - (dy^4)^2.$$

The ten Killing vectors

$$U_{\alpha\beta} = y_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta} - y_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}$$

generate rotations for $\mathbb{R}^{3,2}$. By restricting these vectors to the hyperboloid $\{\eta_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta = \frac{3}{\Lambda}\}$ with the induced metric, the Killing vectors of *AdS* spacetime can be derived, denoted as $U_{\alpha\beta}$ also. See appendix for the explicit form of $U_{\alpha\beta}$ along the 0-slice.

Denote

$$\mathcal{E}_i = \breve{\nabla}^j g_{ij} - \breve{\nabla}_i tr_{\breve{g}}(g) - \kappa(a_{1i} - g_{1i} tr_{\breve{g}}(a)),$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{ki} = p_{ki} - g_{ki} tr_{\breve{g}}(p).$$

Then we can define the following quantities for the asymptotically AdS Einstein-Maxwell initial data set.

Definition 3.2. *For the asymptotically AdS Einstein-Maxwell initial data set, the total energy is defined as*

$$E_0 = \frac{\kappa}{16\pi} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} \mathcal{E}_1 U^{(0)}_{40} \breve{\omega},$$

the total momenta are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} c_i =& \frac{\kappa}{16\pi}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r}\mathcal{E}_1 U_{i4}^{(0)}\breve{\omega}, \\ c_i' =& \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\sum_{j=2}^{3}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r}\mathcal{P}_{j1}U_{i0}^{(j)}\breve{\omega}, \\ J_i =& \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\sum_{j=2}^{3}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r}\mathcal{P}_{j1}V_i^{(j)}\breve{\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

the total electric charge is defined as

$$q = \frac{1}{4\pi}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r}E^1\breve{\omega},$$

and the total magnetic momentum is defined as

$$b_\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r}B^1 n^\alpha e^{\kappa r}\breve{\omega},$$

where $$\breve{\omega} = \breve{e}^2\wedge\breve{e}^3, \qquad U_{\alpha\beta} = U_{\alpha\beta}^{(\gamma)}\breve{e}_\gamma,$$

$$n^0 = 1,\ n^1 = \sin\theta\cos\psi,\ n^2 = \sin\theta\sin\psi,\ n^3 = \cos\theta.$$

Remark 3.2. *For Reissner-Nordström AdS spacetime with the metric*

$$\widetilde{g} = -fdt^2 + f^{-1}d\bar{r}^2 + \bar{r}^2 d\Omega^2, \quad f = 1 - \frac{2M}{\bar{r}} + \frac{Q^2}{\bar{r}^2} + \kappa^2\bar{r}^2$$

and the field strength tensor

$$F = -\frac{Q}{\bar{r}^2}dt\wedge d\bar{r},$$

the total electric charge q is just Q.

4. THE WEITZENBÖCK FORMULA AND THE DIRAC-WITTEN EQUATION

Let $(N, \widetilde{g})$ be a spacetime with the metric $\widetilde{g}$ of signature $(-1,1,1,1)$ and (M, g, p, E, B) be an asymptotically *AdS* Einstein-Maxwell initial data set of N. As M is spin, we can choose a spin structure, and thus a spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$ over M. This is the same as in [12]. Denote $\widetilde{\nabla}$, ∇ the Levi-Civita connections with respect to $\widetilde{g}$, g respectively, and their lifts to the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$. There is a positive definite Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{S}$, with respect to which e_i is skew-Hermitian and e_0 is Hermitian[12, 22, 21]. Furthermore, ∇ is compatible with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, but $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is not.

For a fixed point $x \in M$, we choose a suitable local orthonormal basis e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, with $\nabla_{e_i}e_j(x) = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_0}e_j(x) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_i}e_0(x) = p_{ij}e_j, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_i}e_j(x) = p_{ij}e_0,$$

where $p_{ij} = \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_i} e_0, e_j)$ is the component of the second fundamental form. Denote $\{e^\alpha\}$ as the dual coframe of $\{e_\alpha\}$. The two connections on the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$ are related by $\widetilde{\nabla}_i = \nabla_i - \frac{1}{2} p_{ij} e_0 \cdot e_j \cdot$.

We define the imaginary Einstein-Maxwell connection as

$$\widehat{\nabla}_i = \nabla_i - \frac{1}{2} p_{ij} e_0 \cdot e_j \cdot + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{2} e_i \cdot - \frac{1}{2} E \cdot e_i \cdot e_0 \cdot - \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot e_i \cdot,$$

and define the associated Dirac-Witten operator as

$$\widehat{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} e_i \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_i = D - \frac{1}{2} p_{ii} e_0 \cdot - \frac{3\kappa}{2}\sqrt{-1} - \frac{1}{2} E \cdot e_0 \cdot - \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot,$$

where $D = \sum_{i=1}^{3} e_i \cdot \nabla_i$. Then the adjoints of this two operators with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_i^* =& - \nabla_i - \frac{1}{2} p_{ij} e_0 \cdot e_j \cdot + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{2} e_i \cdot - \frac{1}{2} e_i \cdot E \cdot e_0 \cdot - \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_i \cdot e_k \cdot e_l \cdot, \\ \widehat{D}^* =& D - \frac{1}{2} p_{ii} e_0 \cdot + \frac{3\kappa}{2}\sqrt{-1} - \frac{1}{2} E \cdot e_0 \cdot + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot . \end{aligned}$$

Now we derive the Weitzenböck formulas for $\widehat{D}$ and $\widehat{D}^*$.

Theorem 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}^* \widehat{D} &= \widehat{\nabla}^* \widehat{\nabla} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}, \\ \widehat{D} \widehat{D}^* &= \widehat{\nabla}'^* \widehat{\nabla}' + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}', \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}' =& \nabla_i - \frac{1}{2} p_{ij} e_0 \cdot e_j \cdot + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{2} e_i \cdot - \frac{1}{2} E \cdot e_i \cdot e_0 \cdot + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot e_i \cdot, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}} =& \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \nu_i e_0 \cdot e_i \cdot) + divE e_0 \cdot - divB e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot e_3 \cdot - \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{2} \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}' =& \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \nu_i' e_0 \cdot e_i \cdot) + divE e_0 \cdot + divB e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot e_3 \cdot - \kappa\sqrt{-1} \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu =& \frac{1}{2}\Big(R + \big(\sum p_{ii}\big)^2 - \sum_{i,j} p_{ij}^2\Big) + 3\kappa^2 - |E|^2 - |B|^2, \\ \nu_i =& \nabla_j p_{ij} - \nabla_i p_{jj} - 2\varepsilon_{ijk} B_j E_k, \\ \nu_i' =& \nabla_j p_{ij} - \nabla_i p_{jj} + 2\varepsilon_{ijk} B_j E_k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: By straightforward computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{D}^*\widehat{D} =&\nabla^*\nabla + \frac{1}{4}\Big(R + \big(\sum p_{ii}\big)^2 + 9\kappa^2 + |E|^2 + |B|^2\Big)\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i p_{jj} e_i \cdot e_0 \cdot - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i E_j e_i \cdot e_j \cdot e_0 \cdot + E_i e_0 \cdot \nabla_i\\ &- E \cdot e_0 \cdot D - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{jkl}\nabla_i B_j e_i \cdot e_k \cdot e_l \cdot - \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot \nabla_l\\ &- \frac{3\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{4}\varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{jkl} B_j E_k e_l \cdot e_0 \cdot .\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\nabla}_i^*\widehat{\nabla}_i =& - \nabla_i\nabla_i + \frac{1}{4}\Big(\sum_{i,j} p_{ij}^2 + 3\kappa^2 + 3|E|^2 + 3|B|^2\Big)\\ &- divE e_0 \cdot + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i p_{ij} e_0 \cdot e_j \cdot + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{jkl} B_j E_k e_l \cdot e_0 \cdot\\ &- \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{4}\varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot - E \cdot e_0 \cdot D - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i E_j e_i \cdot e_j \cdot e_0 \cdot\\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{jkl}\nabla_i B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot e_i \cdot + E_i e_0 \cdot \nabla_i - \varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot \nabla_l .\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{D}^*\widehat{D} =&\widehat{\nabla}^*\widehat{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4}\Big(R + \big(\sum p_{ii}\big)^2 - \sum_{i,j} p_{ij}^2 + 6\kappa^2 - 2|E|^2 - 2|B|^2\Big)\\ &- \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_j p_{ij} - \nabla_i p_{jj} - 2\varepsilon_{ijk} B_j E_k) e_0 \cdot e_i \cdot + divE e_0 \cdot\\ &- \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{2}\varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{jkl}\nabla_i B_j (e_i \cdot e_k \cdot e_l \cdot + e_k \cdot e_l \cdot e_i \cdot).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\varepsilon_{jkl}\nabla_i B_j (e_k \cdot e_l \cdot e_i \cdot + e_i \cdot e_k \cdot e_l \cdot) = 4 divB e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot e_3 \cdot ,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{D}^*\widehat{D} =&\widehat{\nabla}^*\widehat{\nabla} + \frac{1}{4}\Big(R + \big(\sum p_{ii}\big)^2 - \sum_{i,j} p_{ij}^2 + 6\kappa^2 - 2|E|^2 - 2|B|^2\Big)\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_j p_{ij} - \nabla_i p_{jj} - 2\varepsilon_{ijk} B_j E_k\right) e_0 \cdot e_i \cdot\\ &+ divE e_0 \cdot - divB e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot e_3 \cdot - \frac{\kappa\sqrt{-1}}{2}\varepsilon_{jkl} B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot .\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first formula in (4.1). The second formula is proved similarly. Q.E.D.

Remark 4.1. *If* $\kappa = 0$*, the formulas in* (4.1) *are reduced to the Weitzenböck formulas for the asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell initial data set* [9]*. And if* $E = B = 0$*, the formulas in* (4.1) *are the same as the formulas for asymptotically AdS spacetimes* [20, 17].

The modified dominant energy condition we impose to prove the positive energy theorem is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\mu \geq \max\Bigg\{&\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}|\nu|^2+(divE)^2+(divB)^2}+\kappa|B|,\\ &\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}|\nu'|^2+(divE)^2+(divB)^2+4\kappa|B|}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.2. *The modified dominant energy condition* (4.4) *is the same as the one used to prove the positive energy theorem for asymptotically flat manifolds with electromagnetic fields if* $\kappa = 0$*, and is reduced to the standard dominant energy condition* $T_{00} \geq \sqrt{\sum_i T_{0i}^2}$ *if* $E = B = 0$.

In order to calculate the boundary term of the Weitzenböck formula, we will define a new connection and see the difference of two connections on the spinor bundle. Most of the results presented here are due to the work in [2, 23, 20]. These are written down here just for completeness. Recall that $g = \breve{g} + a$ with $a = O(e^{-\tau\kappa r})$, $\breve{\nabla} a = O(e^{-\tau\kappa r})$, $\breve{\nabla}\breve{\nabla} a = O(e^{-\tau\kappa r})$. Orthonormalizing $\breve{e}_i$ yields

$$e_i = \breve{e}_i - \frac{1}{2}a_{ik}\breve{e}_k + o(e^{-\tau\kappa r}).$$

This provides a gauge transformation

$$\mathcal{A} : SO(\breve{g}) \to SO(g)$$

$$\breve{e}_i \mapsto e_i$$

(and in addition $e_0 \mapsto e_0$) which identifies also the corresponding spinor bundles.

A new connection is introduced by $\overline{\nabla} = \mathcal{A} \circ \breve{\nabla} \circ \mathcal{A}^{-1}$. Then we have

Lemma 4.1. (*Prop.*3.2, [24]) *Let* (M, g, h) *be a* 3*-dimensional asymptotically AdS initial data set. Then*

$$\sum_{j,\ j\neq i} Re\langle\phi, e_i \cdot e_j \cdot (\nabla_j - \overline{\nabla}_j)\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\breve{\nabla}^j g_{ij} - \breve{\nabla}_i tr_{\breve{g}}(g) + o(e^{-\tau\kappa r}))|\phi|^2, \tag{4.5}$$

for all $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$.

We can extend the imaginary Killing spinors Φ_0 in (2.4) on the end to the whole M smoothly. Then corresponding to g we may get the spinors $\overline{\Phi}_0 = \mathcal{A}\Phi_0$. Let $\hat{\overline{\nabla}}_X = \overline{\nabla}_X + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\kappa X\cdot$, then

$$\hat{\overline{\nabla}}_j \overline{\Phi}_0 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\kappa a_{jk} e_k \cdot \overline{\Phi}_0 + o(e^{-\tau\kappa r})\overline{\Phi}_0. \tag{4.6}$$

For any compact set $K \subset M$, denote $H^1(K, \mathbb{S})$ as the completion of smooth sections of $\mathbb{S}|_K$ with respect to the norm

$$||\phi||^2_{H^1(K,\mathbb{S})} = \int_K \left(|\phi|^2 + |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 \right) dV_g.$$

And let $H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$ be the completion of compact supported smooth sections $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ with respect to the norm

$$||\phi||^2_{H^1(M,\mathbb{S})} = \int_M \left(|\phi|^2 + |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 \right) dV_g.$$

Then $H^1(K, \mathbb{S})$ and $H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$ are Hilbert spaces. Furthermore, we have the following Poincaré inequality.

Lemma 4.2. *There is a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_M |\phi|^2 dV_g \leq C \int_M |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 dV_g, \tag{4.7}$$

for all $\phi \in H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$.

Proof: As $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{S})$ is dense in $H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$, we just need to prove the inequality for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{S})$. The required inequality is then obtained by continuity.

Separate M into two parts K and $M \setminus K$, where K is a compact set and $M \setminus K$ is the end. Then for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{S})$,

$$\int_K |\phi|^2 dV_g \leq C\Big(\int_K |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 dV_g + \int_{\partial(M\setminus K)} |\phi|^2 d\sigma \Big), \tag{4.8}$$

where C is a positive constant. The inequality is obtained by contradiction as follows. Suppose it is not the case, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\phi_n \in H^1(K, \mathbb{S})$ with $||\phi_n||^2_{L^2(K,\mathbb{S})} = 1$ such that

$$\int_K |\widehat{\nabla}\phi_n|^2 dV_g + \int_{\partial(M\setminus K)} |\phi_n|^2 d\sigma \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence $\{\phi_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(K, \mathbb{S})$, and there is a subsequence, still denoted by $\{\phi_n\}$, converging to some $\phi_\infty \in H^1(K, \mathbb{S})$ weakly in $H^1(K, \mathbb{S})$. Then

$$||\phi_\infty||_{H^1(K,\mathbb{S})} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} ||\phi_n||_{H^1(K,\mathbb{S})} = 1.$$

By the Rellich theorem, there is a subsequence, still denoted by $\{\phi_n\}$, converging to ϕ_∞ strongly in $L^2(K, \mathbb{S})$, thus $||\phi_\infty||_{L^2(K,\mathbb{S})} = 1$. Therefore,

$$||\widehat{\nabla}\phi_\infty||_{L^2(K,\mathbb{S})} = 0,$$

and

$$\widehat{D}\phi_\infty = \widehat{\nabla}\phi_\infty = 0$$

on K. Furthermore, $H^1(K, \mathbb{S})$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(\partial(M \setminus K), \mathbb{S})$ by Rellich theorem, then $\phi_\infty|_{\partial(M\setminus K)} = 0$. Extend ϕ_∞ to be zero outside K along $\partial(M \setminus K)$, then $\phi_\infty \in H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$ and $\widehat{D}\phi_\infty = 0$. Thus $\phi_\infty \in C^\infty(intK \cup \partial(M \setminus K))$ by the interior regularity of Dirac-type equation [4].

Then $\phi_\infty \equiv 0$ by the Weitzenböck formula (4.1) of $\widehat{D}$ and the modified dominant energy condition (4.4), which contradicts $||\phi_\infty||_{L^2(K,\mathbb{S})} = 1$.

Under the asymptotical condition (3.2), the volume element dV_g on $M_\infty = M \setminus K$ is equivalent to $d\mu = e^{2\kappa r} dr d\Theta$, where $d\Theta$ is the unit volume element on $S^2(1)$. We just need to prove corresponding inequality for the new volume element.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{M_\infty} |\phi|^2 e^{2\kappa r} dr d\Theta =& \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0.R_0)} |\phi|^2 d(e^{2\kappa r}) d\Theta \\ =& -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\partial B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\sigma - \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0.R_0)} \partial_r |\phi|^2 d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} \partial_r |\phi|^2 d\mu\right| \\ \leq& \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} \Big(2|\langle \widehat{\nabla}_{e_1}\phi, \phi\rangle| + |p||\phi|^2 + \kappa|\phi|^2 + |E||\phi|^2 + |B||\phi|^2\Big) d\mu \\ \leq& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\langle \widehat{\nabla}_{e_1}\phi, \phi\rangle| d\mu \\ &+ \frac{C}{2\kappa} e^{-\tau\kappa R_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\mu \\ \leq& \Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa} + \frac{C}{2\kappa} e^{-\tau\kappa R_0}\Big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\mu + \frac{4}{\epsilon\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\mu \leq& -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\partial B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\sigma + \frac{4}{\epsilon\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 d\mu \\ &+ \Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa} + \frac{C}{2\kappa} e^{-\tau\kappa R_0}\Big)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Choosing ϵ small enough and R_0 large enough gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\mu \leq -C_1\int_{\partial B(0,R_0)} |\phi|^2 d\sigma + C_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B(0,R_0)} |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2, \tag{4.9}$$

for some constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$. Combining (4.8) and (4.9), we get the desired Poincaré inequality. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.2 has the following useful corollary.

Corollary 4.1. $\int_M |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 dV_g$ *is an equivalent norm for* $H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$.

To prove the main result, we shall prove the following key existence and uniqueness theorem for the Dirac-Witten equation.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose* (M, g, p, E, B) *is an asymptotically AdS Einstein-Maxwell initial data set of order* $\tau > \frac{3}{2}$, *then there exists a unique spinor* $\Phi_1 \in H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$ *such that*

$$\widehat{D}(\Phi_1 + \bar{\Phi}_0) = 0,$$

where Φ_0 *is the imaginary Killing spinor defined by* (2.2).

Proof: We follow the arguments of [24, 20] to prove the lemma. set

$$B(\phi, \psi) = \int_M \langle \widehat{D}\phi, \widehat{D}\psi \rangle,$$

then Corollary 4.1 and the modified dominant energy condition (4.4) imply that $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a coercive bounded bilinear form on $H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$. Set

$$F(\phi) = -\int_M \langle \widehat{D}\overline{\Phi}_0, \widehat{D}\phi \rangle.$$

By the definition of Φ_0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\nabla}_i\overline{\Phi}_0 =& (\nabla_i - \overline{\nabla}_i)\overline{\Phi}_0 + \widehat{\overline{\nabla}}_i\overline{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{2}p_{ij}e_0 \cdot e_j \cdot \overline{\Phi}_0 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}E \cdot e_i \cdot e_0 \cdot \overline{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{jkl}B_j e_k \cdot e_l \cdot e_i \cdot \overline{\Phi}_0.\end{aligned}$$

The expressions (2.2), (3.2), (4.5) and (4.6) imply that $\widehat{\nabla}\overline{\Phi}_0 \in L^2(M, \mathbb{S})$ and then $\widehat{D}\overline{\Phi}_0 \in L^2(M, \mathbb{S})$, thus $F(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$.

By the Lax-Milgram theorem, there is a unique $\Phi_1 \in H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$ satisfying

$$\widehat{D}^*\widehat{D}\Phi_1 = -\widehat{D}^*\widehat{D}\overline{\Phi}_0$$

weakly. Set $\Phi = \Phi_1 + \overline{\Phi}_0$, $\Psi = \widehat{D}\Phi$, then $\Psi \in L^2(M, \mathbb{S})$ and $\widehat{D}^*\Psi = 0$ weakly. By the elliptic regularity for Dirac-type equation [4], we have $\Psi \in H^1(M, \mathbb{S})$ and $\widehat{D}^*\Psi = 0$ in the classical sense. Then the Weitzenböck formula (4.1) and the modified dominant energy condition (4.4) imply that $\widehat{\nabla}'\Psi = 0$. We thus have $|\partial_i \ln |\Psi|^2| \leq \kappa + |p| + |E| + |B|$ on the complement of the zero set of Ψ on M. As a consequence, if there exist $x_0 \in M$ such that $\Psi(x_0) \neq 0$, then

$$|\Psi(x)|^2 \geq |\Psi(x_0)|^2 e^{(\kappa + |p| + |E| + |B|)(|x_0| - |x|)}.$$

This implies that $|\Psi(x)|$ is not in $L^2(M, \mathbb{S})$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\Psi \equiv 0$, and $\widehat{D}(\Phi_1 + \overline{\Phi}_0) = 0$. Q.E.D.

5. Positive energy theorems

Let $\phi = \overline{\Phi}_0 + \Phi_1$, where Φ_1 is the solution given by Lemma 4.3. By integrating the Weitzenböck formula (4.1) for $\widehat{D}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_M |\widehat{\nabla}\phi|^2 * 1 + \int_M \langle \phi, \widehat{\mathcal{R}}\phi\rangle * 1 \\
&= \lim_{r\to\infty} Re \int_{S_r} \langle \phi, \sum_{j\neq i} e_i \cdot e_j \cdot \widehat{\nabla}_j \phi\rangle * e^i \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r} (\breve{\nabla}^j g_{1j} - \breve{\nabla}_1 tr_{\breve{g}}(g))|\Phi_0|^2 \breve{\omega} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r} \kappa(a_{k1} - g_{k1} tr_{\breve{g}}(a))\langle \Phi_0, \sqrt{-1}\breve{e}_k \cdot \Phi_0\rangle \breve{\omega} \qquad (5.1)\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r} (h_{k1} - g_{k1} tr_{\breve{g}}(h))\langle \Phi_0, \breve{e}_0 \cdot \breve{e}_k \cdot \Phi_0\rangle \breve{\omega} \\
&\quad + \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r} E^1 \langle \Phi_0, \breve{e}_0 \cdot \Phi_0\rangle \breve{\omega} \\
&\quad - \lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r} B^1 \langle \Phi_0, \breve{e}_1 \cdot \breve{e}_2 \cdot \breve{e}_3 \cdot \Phi_0\rangle \breve{\omega}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Clifford representation (2.1) and the explicit form (2.2) of Φ_0, the boundary term is equal to

$$8\pi(\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \bar{\lambda}_3, \bar{\lambda}_4) Q (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)^t,$$

in which the matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} E & L \\ \bar{L}^t & \hat{E} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} E_0 - c_3 \\ +b_0 - b_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} c_1 - \sqrt{-1}c_2 \\ +b_1 - \sqrt{-1}b_2 \end{matrix} \\ \\ \begin{matrix} c_1 + \sqrt{-1}c_2 \\ +b_1 + \sqrt{-1}b_2 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} E_0 + c_3 \\ +b_0 + b_3 \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} E_0 + c_3 \\ -b_0 - b_3 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -c_1 + \sqrt{-1}c_2 \\ +b_1 + \sqrt{-1}b_2 \end{matrix} \\ \\ \begin{matrix} -c_1 - \sqrt{-1}c_2 \\ +b_1 - \sqrt{-1}b_2 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} E_0 - c_3 \\ -b_0 + b_3 \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L=\begin{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} c_3' \\ +\sqrt{-1}(q-J_3) \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -c_1'+J_2 \\ +\sqrt{-1}(c_2'+J_1) \end{matrix} \\ \\ \begin{matrix} -c_1'-J_2 \\ +\sqrt{-1}(J_1-c_2') \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -c_3' \\ +\sqrt{-1}(J_3+q) \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The nonnegativity of the Hermitian matrix Q can be seen from the integrated form of the Weitzenböck formula (5.1) and the dominant energy condition (4.4).
Denote

$$\mathbf{c}=(c_1,c_2,c_3),\quad \mathbf{c}'=(c_1',c_2',c_3'),\quad \mathbf{J}=(J_1,J_2,J_3),\quad \mathbf{b}=(b_1,b_2,b_3),$$
$$|L|^2=2(|\mathbf{c}'|^2+|\mathbf{J}|^2+q^2),\quad A=b_0^2+|\mathbf{c}|^2+|\mathbf{b}|^2+|\mathbf{c}'|^2+|\mathbf{J}|^2+q^2.$$

When we consider the asymptotically AdS initial data set, we can obtain the nonnegative Hermitian matrix Q above with $q=\mathbf{b}=0$. For this case, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M,g,h) be a 3-dimensional asymptotically AdS initial data set of the spacetime $(N,\widetilde{g})$ which satisfies the dominant energy condition. Then we have*

$$E_0\geq max\Big\{\Big(\frac{1}{2}|L|^2+2|\mathbf{c}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}-|\mathbf{c}|,\Big(\frac{1}{2}|L|^2+2\big|\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}\big|-F^2\Big)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}-|\mathbf{c}|\Big\},\tag{5.2}$$

where

$$F^2=\sqrt{2}|\mathbf{c}||L|+\sqrt[4]{2}|\mathbf{c}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|L|^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Proof: Since the Hermitian matrix Q is nonnegative, all the principal minors of Q are nonnegative. From the nonnegativity of the first-order and second-order principal minors of the matrix Q, one finds $E_0\geq|\mathbf{c}|$ and $E_0\geq\frac{1}{2}|L|$.

The sum of the third-order principal minors implies that

$$\begin{aligned}0\leq&2E_0\big(E_0^2-|\mathbf{c}|^2\big)-E_0|L|^2+4|\mathbf{c}||\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}|\\ \leq&2E_0\big(E_0^2-|\mathbf{c}|^2\big)-E_0|L|^2+2|\mathbf{c}|\big(|\mathbf{c}'|^2+|\mathbf{J}|^2\big)\\ \leq&2E_0\big(E_0^2-|\mathbf{c}|^2\big)-E_0|L|^2+4|\mathbf{c}|E_0^2\\ =&2E_0\big[(E_0+|\mathbf{c}|)^2-2|\mathbf{c}|^2-\frac{1}{2}|L|^2\big].\end{aligned}$$

If $E_0>0$, then one has

$$E_0\geq\Big(\frac{1}{2}|L|^2+2|\mathbf{c}|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}-|\mathbf{c}|.\tag{5.3}$$

If $E_0=0$, then this is a trivial inequality as $E_0\geq|\mathbf{c}|$ and $E_0\geq\frac{1}{2}|L|$.

Direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} detQ \leq& \big(E_0^2 - |\mathbf{c}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|L|^2\big)^2 - 4|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}\big|^2 + 8E_0|\mathbf{c}||\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}\big| \\ =& \big(E_0^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|L|^2\big)^2 + 2|\mathbf{c}|^2|L|^2 - \big(2E_0|\mathbf{c}| - 2|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}\big|\big)^2 \\ \leq& \big(E_0^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|L|^2 + \sqrt{2}|\mathbf{c}||L|\big)^2 + \sqrt{2}|\mathbf{c}||L|^3 - \big(2E_0|\mathbf{c}| - 2|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}\big|\big)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (5.3) implies $E_0^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|L|^2 + \sqrt{2}|\mathbf{c}||L| \geq 0$. One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} detQ \leq& \Big(E_0^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|L|^2 + \sqrt{2}|\mathbf{c}||L| + \sqrt[4]{2}|\mathbf{c}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|L|^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)^2 \\ &- \Big(2E_0|\mathbf{c}| - 2|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}\big|\Big)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $E_0^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|L|^2 + \sqrt{2}|\mathbf{c}||L| + \sqrt[4]{2}|\mathbf{c}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|L|^{\frac{3}{2}} \geq -2E_0|\mathbf{c}| + 2|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}\big|$ and the inequality follows immediately. Q.E.D.

Remark 5.1. *If* $\mathbf{c} = 0$, *the inequality* $E_0 \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2}|L|^2 + 2|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}\big| - F^2\Big)_+^{\frac{1}{2}} - |\mathbf{c}|$ *is reduced to* (3.1) *in* [7]. *Here we remove the assumption that* $m_{(\mu)}$ *is timelike in* [7].

Theorem 5.2. *Let* (M, g, p, E, B) *be a* 3*-dimensional asymptotically AdS Einstein-Maxwell initial data set of the spacetime* $(N, \widetilde{g})$ *which satisfies the modified dominant energy condition* (4.4). *Then the nonnegativity of the Hermitian matrix* Q *implies the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 \geq max\Big\{& \big(b_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}|L|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \Big(\frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{c}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) + \frac{1}{8}|L|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (A + |\mathbf{b}|^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & -|\mathbf{b}| - |\mathbf{c}|, \Big[A - 4\sqrt{2}\big(\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + F_+^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]_+^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_+ = max\{f, 0\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} F =& 32|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2 + 4|\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{J}|^2 + 36\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 + 4|\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}|^2 + 4|\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{J}|^2 \\ &+ 4|\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}'|^2 - 8\sqrt{2}\big(\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} A. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The nonnegativity of the first-order principal minors ensures $E_0 \geq 0$. And from the nonnegativity of the second-order principal minors, one finds

$$E_0 \geq \big(b_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{c}'|^2 + |\mathbf{J}|^2 + q^2)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$E_0 \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{c}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) + \frac{1}{4}\big(|\mathbf{c}'|^2 + |\mathbf{J}|^2 + q^2\big)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{5.5}$$

The sum of the third-order principal minors is given, up to a positive constant, by

$$S = E_0(E_0^2 - A) + 2b_0\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c} + 2q\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J} + 2\varepsilon_{ijk}c_ic'_jJ_k.$$

Using the Cauchy inequality, one derives

$$S \leq E_0(E_0^2 - A) + 2E_0|\mathbf{b}||\mathbf{c}| + 2|q||\mathbf{b}||\mathbf{J}| + 2|\mathbf{c}||\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}|.$$

As $|q||\mathbf{J}| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|q|^2 + |\mathbf{J}|^2) \leq E_0^2$ and $|\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{c}'|^2 + |\mathbf{J}|^2) \leq E_0^2$, one can obtain

$$E_0\big((E_0 + |\mathbf{c}| + |\mathbf{b}|)^2 - A - |\mathbf{c}|^2 - |\mathbf{b}|^2\big) \geq 0.$$

If $E_0 > 0$, one finds

$$E_0 \geq (A + |\mathbf{b}|^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - |\mathbf{b}| - |\mathbf{c}|. \tag{5.6}$$

When $E_0 = 0$, the inequality (5.4), together with the inequality (5.5), shows that $Q = 0$. In this case the inequality (5.6) becomes trivial.
Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2b_0\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c} + 2q\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J} + 2\varepsilon_{ijk}c_ic'_jJ_k \\ \leq &2(|\mathbf{b}|^2 + |\mathbf{c}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\sum_i(b_0c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq &2\sqrt{2}E_0\big(\sum_i(b_0c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Therefore,

$$S \leq E_0(E_0^2 - A) + 2\sqrt{2}E_0\big(\sum_i(b_0c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This implies

$$E_0^2 \geq A - 2\sqrt{2}\big(\sum_i(b_0c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{5.8}$$

if $E_0 > 0$. When $E_0 = 0$, this inequality can be derived by (5.4) and (5.5).

The determinant of the matrix Q is

$$\begin{aligned} detQ = &\big(E_0^2 - A\big)^2 + 8E_0(b_0\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c} + q\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_{ijk}c_ic'_jJ_k) \\ &- 4|\mathbf{c}\times\mathbf{c}'|^2 - 4|\mathbf{c}\times\mathbf{J}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{c}'\times\mathbf{J}|^2 - 4b_0^2|\mathbf{b}|^2 - 4q^2|\mathbf{b}|^2 \\ &- 4\sum_i(b_0c_i + qJ_i)^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}'|^2 \\ &- 8b_0\varepsilon_{ijk}b_ic'_jJ_k - 8q\varepsilon_{ijk}b_ic_jc'_k \\ \leq &\big(E_0^2 - A\big)^2 + 8E_0(b_0\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c} + q\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_{ijk}c_ic'_jJ_k) - 4|\mathbf{c}\times\mathbf{J}|^2 \\ &- 4\sum_i(b_0c_i + qJ_i)^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}'|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.7), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
detQ \leq & \big(E_0^2 - A\big)^2 + 8\sqrt{2}E_0^2\big(\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 4|\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{J}|^2 \\
& - 4\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}'|^2 \\
= & (E_0^2 - A + 4\sqrt{2}\big(\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 - 4|\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{J}|^2 \\
& + 8\sqrt{2}\big(\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} A - 32|\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2 \\
& - 36\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{J}|^2 - 4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{c}'|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.8), we get

$$E_0^2 \geq A - 4\sqrt{2}\big(\sum_i (b_0 c_i + qJ_i)^2 + |\mathbf{c}' \times \mathbf{J}|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + F_+^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The inequality follows immediately. Q.E.D.

Remark 5.2. *Suppose M has inner boundary $\Sigma = \cup\Sigma_i^+ \cup \cup\Sigma_i^-$, where Σ_i^+ and Σ_i^+ are future and past trapped surfaces, defined as*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_i^+ =& \{tr(h) - tr(p|_{\Sigma_i}) \geq 0\}, \\
\Sigma_i^- =& \{tr(h) + tr(p|_{\Sigma_i}) \geq 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take e_3 as the outer unit normal of Σ in M and take the boundary conditon $e_0 \cdot e_3 \cdot \phi = \pm\phi$ on $\Sigma_i^\pm$, then

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \phi, e_3 \cdot \widehat{D}\phi + \widehat{\nabla}_{e_3}\phi \rangle$$

is non-positive. In such situation, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 are all valid. Similar arguments appear in [21]. This verifies Theorem 5.2 for black holes.

Remark 5.3. *If $E_0 = 0$, there are four linearly independent spinors satisfying $\widehat{\nabla}\phi = 0$. The characterization of the manifold M in such case will be addressed elsewhere.*

6. KERR-NEWMAN-ADS CASE

In this section, we will calculate our definitions for time slices in the Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime [5].

For Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime, the metric in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(\hat{t}, \hat{r}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\varphi})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g} = & -\frac{\Delta_{\hat{r}}}{\rho^2}\Big[d\hat{t} - \frac{a\sin^2\hat{\theta}}{\Sigma}d\hat{\varphi}\Big]^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_{\hat{r}}}d\hat{r}^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_{\hat{\theta}}}d\hat{\theta}^2 \\ & + \frac{\Delta_{\hat{\theta}}\sin^2\hat{\theta}}{\rho^2}\Big[ad\hat{t} - \frac{\hat{r}^2+a^2}{\Sigma}d\hat{\varphi}\Big]^2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\hat{r}} &= \big(\hat{r}^2+a^2\big)\big(1+\kappa^2\hat{r}^2\big) - 2m\hat{r} + e^2, \\ \Delta_{\hat{\theta}} &= 1 - \kappa^2 a^2\cos^2\hat{\theta}, \\ \rho^2 &= \hat{r}^2 + a^2\cos^2\hat{\theta}, \\ \Sigma &= 1 - \kappa^2 a^2.\end{aligned}$$

If we take

$$\begin{aligned} e^0 &= \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{\hat{r}}}}{\rho}\Big(d\hat{t} - \frac{a\sin^2\hat{\theta}}{\Sigma}d\hat{\varphi}\Big), \quad e^1 = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\Delta_{\hat{r}}}}d\hat{r}, \\ e^2 &= \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\Delta_{\hat{\theta}}}}d\hat{\theta}, \quad e^3 = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{\hat{\theta}}}\sin\hat{\theta}}{\rho}\Big(ad\hat{t} - \frac{\hat{r}^2+a^2}{\Sigma}d\hat{\varphi}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

then the field strength tensor is

$$F = -\frac{1}{\rho^4}e\big(\hat{r}^2 - a^2\cos^2\hat{\theta}\big)e^0\wedge e^1 - \frac{2}{\rho^4}e\hat{r}a\cos\hat{\theta}e^2\wedge e^3.$$

Similar to the process in [10], after the coordinate transformations

$$\begin{aligned}& t = \hat{t}, \quad \varphi = \hat{\varphi} + \kappa^2 a\hat{t}, \quad \sinh(\kappa r)\cos\theta = \kappa\hat{r}\cos\hat{\theta}, \\ & \sqrt{\Sigma}\sinh(\kappa r)\sin\theta = \kappa\sqrt{\hat{r}^2+a^2}\sin\hat{\theta},\end{aligned}$$

the Kerr-Newman-AdS metric can be written as

$$\widetilde{g} = -\cosh^2(\kappa r)dt^2 + dr^2 + \frac{\sinh^2(\kappa r)}{\kappa^2}(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) + a_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu,$$

where the nonzero components $a_{\mu\nu}$ have the following asymptotic behaviors

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{tt} &= \frac{2m\kappa}{\sinh(\kappa r)} B^{-5/2} + O(e^{-3\kappa r}),\\
a_{t\varphi} &= -\frac{2ma\kappa}{\sinh(\kappa r)} \sin^2\theta B^{-5/2} + O(e^{-3\kappa r}),\\
a_{\varphi\varphi} &= \frac{2ma^2\kappa}{\sinh(\kappa r)} \sin^4\theta B^{-5/2} + O(e^{-3\kappa r}),\\
a_{rr} &= \frac{2m\kappa}{\sinh(\kappa r)^5} \cosh(\kappa r)^2 B^{-3/2} + O(e^{-7\kappa r}),\\
a_{r\theta} &= -\frac{2m\kappa^2 a^2}{\sinh(\kappa r)^4} \cosh(\kappa r) \sin\theta\cos\theta B^{-5/2} + O(e^{-6\kappa r}),\\
a_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{2ma^4\kappa^3}{\sinh(\kappa r)^3} \sin^2\theta\cos^2\theta B^{-7/2} + O(e^{-5\kappa r}),\\
B &= 1 - a^2\kappa^2\sin^2\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Simple calculations show that for t-slices, the quantities with the order not higher than $e^{-3\kappa r}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{11} &= 16m\kappa B^{-3/2} e^{-3\kappa r} + o(e^{-3\kappa r}),\\
a_{33} &= 16ma^2\kappa^3 B^{-5/2}\sin^2\theta e^{-3\kappa r} + o(e^{-3\kappa r}),\\
p_{13} = p_{31} &= 24ma\kappa^3 B^{-5/2}\sin\theta e^{-3\kappa r} + o(e^{-3\kappa r}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{31} &= 24ma\kappa^3 B^{-5/2}\sin\theta e^{-3\kappa r} + o(e^{-3\kappa r}),\\
\mathcal{E}_1 &= 2\kappa a_{11} + \partial_r a_{33}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
E^1 &= 4\kappa^2 e B^{-3/2} e^{-2\kappa r} + o(e^{-2\kappa r}),\\
B^1 &= 16\kappa^3 ea B^{-5/2}\cos\theta e^{-3\kappa r} + o(e^{-3\kappa r}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we get for Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_0 = \frac{m}{\Sigma^2}, \quad J_3 = \frac{m\kappa a}{\Sigma^2}, \quad q = \frac{e}{\Sigma}, \quad b_3 = \frac{4\kappa a e}{3\Sigma},\\
&J_1 = J_2 = b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = c_i = c_i' = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\end{aligned}$$

7. Appendix

The Killing vectors of AdS spacetime are

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{40} =&\kappa^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\\
U_{10} =&\kappa^{-1}\sin\theta\cos\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\coth(\kappa r)\Big(\cos\theta\cos\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}-\frac{\sin\psi}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\Big),\\
U_{20} =&\kappa^{-1}\sin\theta\sin\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\coth(\kappa r)\Big(\cos\theta\sin\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\frac{\cos\psi}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\Big),\\
U_{30} =&\kappa^{-1}\cos\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\coth(\kappa r)\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},\\
U_{14} =&\kappa^{-1}\tanh(\kappa r)\sin\theta\cos\psi\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t},\\
U_{24} =&\kappa^{-1}\tanh(\kappa r)\sin\theta\sin\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\\
U_{34} =&\kappa^{-1}\tanh(\kappa r)\cos\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\\
U_{23} =&-\sin\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}-\frac{\cos\theta\cos\psi}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi},\\
U_{31} =&\cos\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}-\frac{\cos\theta\sin\psi}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi},\\
U_{12} =&\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}.
\end{aligned}$$

We set $V_1 = U_{23}$, $V_2 = U_{31}$ and $V_3 = U_{12}$ for convenience.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Professor X.Zhang for his suggestions and helpful discussions. This work is done during the visit of the second author to Academy of Mathematics and System Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences. He would like to thank the academy for its hospitality.

References

- [1] Abbott, L., Deser, S., Stability of gravity with a cosmological constant. Nucl. Phys. B **195**, 76-96(1982).
- [2] Andersson, L., Dahl, M., Scalar curvature rigity for asymptotically locally hyperbolic manifolds. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **16**, 1-27(1998)
- [3] Aros, R., Contreras, M., Olea, R., Troncoso, R., Zanelli, J., Conserved charges for gravity with locally AdS asymptotics. Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1647-1650(2000).
- [4] Bartnik, R., Chruściel, T., Boundary value problems for Dirac-type equations. J. reine angew. Math. **579**, 13-73(2005)
- [5] Carter, B., Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrodinger separable solutions of Einstein's equation. Commun. Math. Phys. **10**, 280-310(1968)
- [6] Chruściel, P., Herzlich, M., The mass of asymptotically hyperbolic Riemannian manifolds. Pacific Jour. Math. **212**, 231-264(2003)

[7] Chruściel, P., Maerten, D., Tod, P., Rigid upper bounds for the angular momentum and centre of mass of non-singular asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes. J. High Energy Phys. **11**, (2006)

[8] Gibbons, G., Hawking, S., Howrowitz, G., Perry, M., Positive energy theorems for balck holes. Commun. Math. Phys. **88**, 295-308 (1983)

[9] Gibbons, G., Hull, C., A Bogomolny bound for general relativity and solitons in $N = 2$ supergravity. Physics Letters. **109B**, 190-194 (1982)

[10] Henneaux, M., Teitelboim, C., Asymptotically anti-de Sitter spaces. Commun. Math. Phys. **98**, 391-424(1985)

[11] Maerten, D., Positive energy-momentum theorem for AdS-asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. Ann. Henri Poincaré. **7**, 975-1011(2006)

[12] Parker, T., Taubes, C., On Witten's proof of the positive energy theorem. Commun. Math. Phys. **84**, 223-238(1982)

[13] Schoen, R., Yau, S.T., On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity. Commun. Math. Phys. **65**, 45-76(1979)

[14] Schoen, R., Yau, S.T., The energy and the linear momentum of spacetimes in general relativity. Commun. Math. Phys. **79**, 47-51(1981)

[15] Schoen, R., Yau, S.T., Proof of the positive mass theorem. II. Commun. Math. Phys. **79**, 231-260(1981)

[16] Wald, R., General relativity. Uiversity of Chicago Press(1984)

[17] Wang, X., Mass for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. **57**, 273-299(2001)

[18] Wang, Y., Xie, N., Zhang, X., Energy-momentum for asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes. arXiv:1207.2914v2 [gr-qc]

[19] Witten, E., A new proof of the positive energy theorem. Commun. Math. Phys. **80**, 381-402(1981)

[20] Xie, N., Zhang, X., Positive mass theorems for asymptotically AdS spacetimes with arbitrary cosmological constant. Int. J. Math. **19**, 285-302(2008)

[21] Xu, X., Ding, L., Positive mass theorems for high-dimensional pacetimes with black holes. Sci. China Math. **54**, 1389-1402(2011)

[22] Zhang, X., Positive mass conjecture for five-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds. J.Math.Phys. **40**, 3540-3552(1999)

[23] Zhang, X., Strongly asymptotically hyperbolic spin manifolds. Math. Res. Lett. **7**, 719-728(2000)

[24] Zhang, X., A definition of total energy-momenta and the positive mass theorem on asymptotically hyperbolic 3-manifolds I. Commun. Math. Phys. **249**, 529-548(2004)

(Yaohua Wang) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100080, PR CHINA

E-mail address: `wangyaohua@amss.ac.cn`

(Xu Xu) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, PR CHINA

E-mail address: `xuxu2@whu.edu.cn`